

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/808,508	ITSUKAICHI, ATSUSHI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nguyen T. Vo	2618	
All Participants:		Status of Application: _____	
(1) <u>Nguyen T. Vo.</u>		(3) _____	
(2) <u>Carl Schaukowitch.</u>		(4) _____	
Date of Interview: <u>3 January 2007</u>		Time: _____	
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: _____			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 1, 20, 25			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>Mr. Schaukowitch agreed to correct minor errors in claims 1, 20 and 25 as indicated in the Examiner's Amendment in order to place the file in condition for allowance.</i>			
Part III.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
(Examiner/SPE Signature)		(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	